

## Sound Links: Uncovering the Dynamics of Lively Musical Communities in Australia

**Brydie-Leigh Bartleet**

Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre, Griffith University  
South Bank, Queensland, Australia  
b.bartleet@griffith.edu.au

### ABSTRACT

*"Sound Links" is an Australia Research Council Linkage project that examines the dynamics of community music in Australia, and the models it represents for music learning and teaching in formal and informal settings. This project is focusing on a selection of vibrant musical communities across the country and exploring their potential for complementarity and synergy with music in schools. This paper focuses on the most significant themes that have emerged from the author's recent "Sound Links" fieldwork in four musical communities across Australia. Drawing on insights from well over 300 community music practitioners, participants, educators, and administrators, the paper touches on the critical success factors, key challenges, learning dynamics and models for community-school collaborations found in these diverse community settings. These themes are interwoven with ideas and concepts from community studies in the humanities and community music literature to provide a range of insights into the social, cultural and educational dynamics of musical communities in Australia.*

### KEYWORDS

community music, learning & teaching, community-school collaborations

### INTRODUCTION

Tonight we attended the gathering of the "Nobodies" drumming circle at the Singing Gallery. It was like watching a secret men's ritual as they each arrived and embraced one another. The drumming seemed to begin out of nowhere, with two men swapping their instruments and jamming together. Slowly more men entered the circle and without saying a word began hitting their drums. A profound sense of community was evoked as they engaged in musical dialogues with one another; watching, listening, responding, experimenting, learning, and exerting themselves. Then all of sudden the drumming dissipated and the room was silent. The men left the circle and walked outside to smoke and talk with one another. They tell us that they see this drumming circle as a family; they care for one another and help each other out. It gives them a sense of belonging and helps them cope with life.

(Bartleet Fieldnotes, 4 December 2007, 10.38pm, McLaren Vale, South Australia)

Today we visited the local high school in Cabramatta, recently labeled a "ghetto" by one of the local newspapers, and spoke with a group of primarily Pacific Islander students. They are part of a singing group which rehearses every Tuesday morning. Their director can't read music; he's the special education teacher. He simply counts to four and shouts "go!" and the students break into lush four part harmonies. When we ask them to sing us a song, our jaws drop from the moment they open their mouths; they are incredible. When we ask how they learnt to do this, they look confused. It's not like someone has sat them down and taught them how to sing, it's just part of their everyday lives, whether they're at home, school or church. Their teacher simply facilitates and encourages them; he recognizes their talents and lets them take the lead. (Bartleet Fieldnotes, 19 February 2008, 10.55pm, Fairfield, New South Wales)

"Sound Links" is an Australia Research Council funded project which is examining the dynamics of community music-making in Australia, and the models it represents for music learning and teaching in formal and informal settings. It is being realized by Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre (Griffith University) in partnership with the Music Council of Australia, the Australian Music Association, and the Australian Society for Music Education. I am the Research Fellow on this project, and Huib Schippers (Queensland Conservatorium Research Centre), Peter Dunbar-Hall (University of Sydney), and Richard Letts (Music Council of Australia) are part of the Research Team. This project is focusing on six vibrant musical communities in the Dandenong Ranges (Victoria), Albany (Western Australia), McLaren Vale (South Australia), Fairfield (New South Wales), Borroloola (Northern Territory) and Inala (Queensland), and exploring their potential for complementarity and synergy with schools in their local areas. The project spans a period of two years, commencing in 2007 and concluding in 2008. So far, four of the six vibrant musical communities have been visited, with the last two scheduled for April and May 2008. In this paper I give an introduction to the study and position it within the broader context of Australian community music and education. I then briefly outline three of the most striking themes that have emerged in my fieldwork visits and briefly touch on the learning dynamics and models for community-school collaborations which have emerged in the preliminary analyses of these community settings.

While there have been numerous debates about what constitutes community music, it is widely acknowledged that it is a group activity where people join together to actively participate in the music-making

process. It encompasses a wide and diverse range of musics, which reflect and enrich the cultural life of the participants and their broader community. While there is nothing substantially new or novel about community music-making, there is a growing awareness and recognition of the connections between community musicians, music making, and education. As Veblen and Olsson (2002) suggest, "This broadening of vision for music educators promises many opportunities for research, such as investigating the variety of successful teaching and learning strategies found in Community Music settings" (p. 743). Despite the promise of such a vision, in the Australian context, only a minimal amount of research has examined community music settings, and their potential to enrich practices in music education.

## BACKGROUND: THE AUSTRALIAN CONTEXT

Despite this lack of research, over the past 20 or 30 years, a community music movement has grown in Australia and played an increasingly significant role in the wide and varied musical landscape of this country. As the Australia Council describes in the discussion paper *Planning for the Future: Issues, Trends and Opportunities for the Arts in Australia* (2001):

The musical landscape in Australia today is broad and diverse, consisting of different cultural traditions, genres and practices. Increasingly, previously defined boundaries between musical genres are being crossed and exciting new genres are being created. Australia, with its multicultural make-up, is uniquely placed to lead the world in this development. The many interrelated layers that contribute to this musical landscape include grassroots music-making, music education, youth music practice, amateur music practice, moving through opportunities offered for professional development, to emerging artists, through community music practice and peak youth bodies, to professional artists and organizations creating and presenting music of the highest quality (p. 44).

A quick scan of the Music Council of Australia's *Community Music Bulletin*, affirms this vibrancy and high level of activity in the community music sector, as does the recent response of over two hundred entrants in the inaugural "Music in Communities Awards" run by MCA's *Music: Play for Life*. Entrants included choirs, music therapy programs, Indigenous groups, bands, festivals, orchestras, regional conservatoriums, country music clubs, samba schools, folk clubs, drumming circles, thistle pipe bands, studio recording projects and community music organisations, to mention just a few. The Australian Government's culture and recreation portal also lists a large number of community music projects that range from Indigenous music to country, folk, rock, jazz, military and ethnic musics. Some States also have community cultural development

organizations, and Regional Arts Australia and its State and Territory organizations also provide strong support to community arts programs, including community music. However, this high level of activity is not without its problems. In the Australia Council's recent Community Partnerships Scoping Study Report, *Creative Communities*, Dunn (2006) identified a number of challenges facing musicians and the music sector, ranging from a devaluing/worsening of music education, music not being seen as integral to life/culture/wellbeing, a lack of support and recognition for certain groups including grassroots and community, and inequity in the division of the funding and resourcing pie.

Somewhat surprisingly, the aforementioned high levels of community music activity and considerable challenges have not been paralleled with much in-depth research. Notwithstanding the significant contributions of Hawkins' (1993) study on community arts in Australia, Breen's (1994) study on public funding of community music in Australia, Harrison's (1996) overview of community music in Australia, Cahill's (1998) handbook on developing music projects and organizations, and Coffman's (2006) study of adult community band members in Tasmania, in-depth research into community music in this country has been minimal. While we know there are high levels of activity across the country, the cultural and social contexts of these activities and their connections to the broader community and schools remain open for further research.

A similar situation can be found from an educational perspective, where considerable research has been devoted to formal Australian school music programs and their curricula, including the recent *National Review of School Music Education* (DEST, 2005). However, much less is known about Australia's informal community contexts and their approaches to learning and teaching music. As Myers (1992) argues, there is a need to think beyond the school level, as the emerging needs of society require an expanded view of education, one that nurtures the lifelong learner. The Australia Council reiterates this by highlighting, "that the formal education system is only one means of influencing the attitudes of children and that it cannot be expected to bring about significant change in isolation" (2000, p. 90). Recognition of this did reach the general brief of the *National Review of School Music Education*, which acknowledges that "communities play a vital role in effective music education" (DEST, 2005, p. vii); however, the final report still primarily focuses on music *in* schools. With this in mind, the "Sound Links" project aims to build a synergy with the outcomes of the *National Review*, and enhance our understandings of Australian community music and education.

## METHODOLOGY

### Outlining the Ethnographic Approach

To accommodate the aims of the research project, a combination of qualitative methodologies, including ethnographic case studies, and quantitative methodologies, including a nation-wide survey, have been used. This paper focuses specifically on the ethnographic case study findings, including insights from our field visits, semi-structured interviews, focus groups, participant and non-participant observations, and analyses of relevant documentation. This particular methodological approach lends itself well to uncovering the individual stories of the community musicians and educators, the dynamics of their practice, and the broader socio-cultural issues and structural frameworks that arise from these settings. As Higgins (2006) concurs, "Ethnographic strategy and method unmask the traits of Community Music in action" (p. 265, emphasis added). In order to fine tune our ethnographic approach and trial it in a local community music environment, a pilot study was successfully undertaken with the Queensland Youth Orchestras program in Brisbane.

This entailed the research team entering into a close interaction with the community musicians and educators in their everyday musical lives to better understand their beliefs, motivations, and behaviors (see Tedlock, 2000, p. 455). In order to facilitate this, a large amount of forward planning was necessary. In each community I identified a key facilitator who had significant local knowledge and a wide network of contacts within the community. In the Dandenong Ranges this was the executive officer at the music council, in Albany this was the senior teacher at the local high school, in McLaren Vale this was the head of performing arts at the Lutheran College and in Fairfield this was a senior member of the cultural policy team at the local city council. These key people introduced me to a number of community musicians, educators and groups, which not only made the organization of the trips more efficient, it also enabled me to tap into local knowledge and cover the community's activities in greater depth.

Each fieldwork trip lasted five days, and we conducted approximately 30 interviews or focus groups with 60-100 participants. These participants included primary school students, secondary school students, school music teachers, principals, parents, community music facilitators, community music participants, cultural development workers, youth workers, settlement workers, festival organizers, local council workers, instrument repairers, radio presenters, and music retailers. As the focal point of each interview was the participant's individual stories, we used semi-structured interviewing techniques, where we had a list of questions we wanted to

ask, but allowed the conversation to determine how the information was obtained (see Levesque-Lopman, 2002). These questions were drawn from the research team's extensive experience in community music and education, issues raised in the online survey, ideas discussed at a "Sound Links" workshop run at the Australian Society for Music Education's national conference, and broader theoretical ideas identified in the literature.

We also attended rehearsals and performances in a variety of settings, such as community centers, schools, churches, sports fields, recording studios, farmers' markets, arts centers, galleries, teaching studios, hospitals, halls, clubs, and temples. We visited local councils and community centers to gather documentation about the broader communities surrounding the music activities we were studying. The research also went beyond the more formalized interviews and observations; we shared many coffees, post-rehearsal drinks, as well as family meals with participants in some of the communities, particularly when I was traveling on my own. At times we were hauled into the action and handed various instruments to try out, other times we were told to sing along, and on two occasions I had to dance with the groups we were studying. We also attended church services, radio interviews, book launches, and other social occasions including a civic ceremony held by the mayor of Albany to welcome me to the town. All of these experiences led to new insights about the people involved, the broader communities and how music functions within these settings. As ethnography is a method that is about forming relationships, these interactions were obviously significant, but could never be exactly reproduced; as such, each community case study was subtly different (see Behar, 1999, p. 480). Likewise, the research team also differed slightly from trip to trip. I attended each fieldwork visit to ensure a consistency of approach; however, sometimes I was on my own, other times I was accompanied by different team members, and these changes in personnel subtly influenced the dynamics of our interactions with the community musicians. It is also worth mentioning that each of the team members has been actively involved in community music-making; in fact, we often drew on our "insider" status as a point of introduction and a point of common ground with the participants. This meant sharing our own experiences during interviews, sometimes giving advice other times asking for guidance.

The *preliminary* analysis phase—and I emphasize preliminary, as two more case studies still need to be completed before the final data analysis can begin—has involved identifying a number of key themes and coding and sorting the data into these categories. Some of these themes or categories arose spontaneously from the participants themselves, other



concepts arose whilst we were immersed in the experience of fieldwork, and further ideas have been generated from the literature. This process of analyzing and then writing about this ethnographic research has also called for a balance between the individual participants' stories and a broader social critique.

### Defining the Community Contexts

Selecting the locations and parameters of the study was a challenging process that brought to the surface a number of debates about the "definition issue," which have been documented extensively in the literature on communities and community music. As Elliot, Higgins and Veblen (2008) explain, the concept of community music is "situated, contested, contingent, and hard to pin down" (p. 3), hence making the selection of field sites a complex and complicated process. I'm not suggesting that I re-open the debate that has been going on for many years within the CMA commission about what community music *is*. As Higgins (2006) amongst many others has argued, discussions of definitions can lead community music into a "discursive cul-de-sac" where insights into both practice and theory are limited (p. 2). While I agree with Higgins' argument, when it came to selecting the locations we would study, our own conceptions, and dare I say definitions, of community music did require rigorous critique in order for us to reach a productive discussion about what we were studying, what we were hoping to find out, and where we thought we should visit. As different research questions require different definitions, we had to make sure that ours were aligned for this particular project's focus. Of course, each member of the research team also brought their own assumptions to the discussion, as did the project's industry partners with their extensive network of community music organizations. As Mason (2000, p. 19) explains, the concept of community is complex because it involves a number of different elements, and people interpret these elements differently, or weight the presence or absence of them differently, and hence disagree over what counts as a community. When choosing the locations, debates raged about whether small isolated "communities of interest," such as our pilot study with the Queensland Youth Orchestras, should be included in this project, given that their level of interaction with what many would perceive as their broader community is sometimes modest. Likewise, the team debated about whether festivals and annual events could be included, given that they often cater to audiences outside the local community and do not necessarily service the local community on an ongoing and regular basis.

In the end, we had to embrace the "slipperiness" of this concept, but at the same time make sure we didn't become too vague and variable in

how we used it. As Amit & Rapport (2002) caution, expressions of community require skeptical investigation, rather than providing a "ready-made social unit upon which to hang analysis" (pp. 13-14). Likewise, Elliot, Higgins & Veblen (2008, p. 3) suggest "community music is a complex, multidimensional, and continuously evolving human endeavor." Taking into account the slipperiness and ever evolving nature of the concept, we did agree on a number of essential elements we wanted the communities we were studying to have. Firstly we were keen to visit community music programs, of whatever style of genre, that included a group of people who had a sense of common interest for which they assumed mutual responsibility, who acknowledged their interconnectedness, who respected the individual differences among members, and who committed themselves to the well-being of each other and the integrity and well-being of the group (see Wood & Judikis, 2002, p. 12). Following Cahill's (1998) definitions, we also looked for community music programs which were "owned" by the local community, with a "management committee or board [that] is elected from within that community" (p. vii). Following Higgins' (2006) suggestion that community music revolves around "people, participation, places, equality of opportunity and diversity" (p. 83), we also wanted to study community music activities which connected with the broader community – whether that broader community is defined in terms of geography, interest, ethnicity, and so on – and were aware of their "place" within that broader social, cultural, and oftentimes economic context. This led us to select communities that were widely dispersed geographically. These communities also represent a cross-section of Australian social contexts, including a culturally diverse urban city (Fairfield), a middle class suburban location (Dandenong Ranges), a remote Indigenous setting (Borroloola), an urban Indigenous setting (Inala), a small rural town (McLaren Vale), and a large established regional centre (Albany).

In September 2007 I visited the Dandenong Ranges Music Council Incorporated (DRMC). This is an arts organization located in the foothills of the Dandenong Ranges, approximately an hour's drive east of Melbourne. It serves the community of the Dandenong Ranges and Yarra Valley in the Shire of Yarra Ranges and has been funding, facilitating and teaching community music in this region for over twenty five years. One month later, I traveled west to Albany, the largest regional city in Western Australia. It is situated around a port on its southern coast 409 km south of Perth, and is the hub of a 40,000 square kilometer region known as the Great Southern. In December 2007 my colleague Peter Dunbar-Hall and I visited McLaren Vale, a wine region approximately 35 km south of Adelaide in South Australia. The research trip also included the small

neighboring towns of Willunga, Aldinga, Morphett Vale and Kangarilla. Then in February 2008 my colleague Huib Schippers and I visited Fairfield City in Western Sydney, an area which incorporates 27 suburbs. It is one of the most culturally diverse cities in Australia with more than half of all residents having been born overseas, mostly in non-English speaking countries. In these settings we searched out vibrant community music programs, but also looked outwards to the local schools and explored the connections that they had with the community music groups we studied. We also explored the level of interaction between the different community groups in each location, and how they engaged with the non-musical community around them. The remaining two case studies include the remote Indigenous community of Borrooloola in the Northern Territory and an urban Indigenous Youth Music Festival in Inala in Queensland.

### CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS

The primary aim of this study has been to identify critical success factors in these different community settings, and how they can inform the development of models and exemplars for other Australian community and educational contexts. Here I will limit my discussion to three of the most significant success factors that were found in the communities studied. A number of other factors – including enhancing community well-being, validating a sense of identity, nurturing intergeneration interaction, encouraging sustainability and ownership, supportive locations, venues, and facilities, committing to equal opportunities and a sense of inclusiveness and creating a community vision – will be discussed in future publications arising from this study. These factors echo some of the characteristics of community music settings identified in the policy statement of the CMA (<http://www.isme.org/>).

#### Inspiring Leadership from within the Community

When the participants in this study were asked what factors contribute towards the vibrancy of their community music activities, oftentimes their first response was to describe the inspiring leadership of an individual community musician or educator who directs the activity. They referred to this person's leadership capabilities, musical and administrative expertise, pedagogical skills, inspiration and encouragement. The most respected community music leaders seemed to have a deep understanding of how music connects people not only in their own groups but also within the broader community. As a strong community music leader in McLaren Vale, Greg John (Head of Performing Arts at Tatachilla Lutheran College) explained,

It's about community building and relationship building and the underpinning thing in all of this is [...] the music. [...] The thread that goes through all this

community building around here, where you can get people from the stiff accountant through to the hippy performing together, from an eighty-seven year old to a thirteen year old, and the little kids and the mums and dads performing with each other and cheering and saying, "isn't this wonderful?" and seeing where they can go [...] all of that is only possible because of the music. I don't know any other medium that could do it (personal interview, 9 December 2007).

Such leaders are also deeply attuned to the unique needs and concerns of people in their local area and have a vision for how they want music to assist their communities to grow. They are highly creative people, who have the ability to find a multitude of different ways to engage the broader community in music. In fact, participants in our study also spoke of the importance of leaders who look beyond their own activities in order to connect with others. This kind of leadership was exhibited by some, but certainly in the minority. After discussing this issue in relation to Fairfield and Huib Schippers' notion that behind every vibrant community music program is a "mad" person; someone who defies all odds and madly devotes their lives to the needs of their community music group, Tiffany Lee-Shoy (Senior Policy Advisor - Cultural Planning, Fairfield City Council) commented,

In Fairfield there's very strong bonded social capital, so within the Cambodian community, or within the Chilean community, it's very strong in Fairfield [...]. Perhaps those mad people are the ones who come up with the ideas in those particular community groups, but it perhaps also is that mad person who is mad enough, brave enough, to step out of that bonded group and look for other opportunities for that bonded group and plug them into others. The thing is there are not that many of those bridging people (personal interview, 18 February 2008).

Looking at the qualities of the leaders in the four communities we visited, they certainly resonate with those described by Veblen (2002). They lead by example. As a well-known leader in the Dandenong Ranges, Bev McAlister (Executive Office of the Dandenong Ranges Music Council) said,

I like to think, and I can see it happening, that we as the elders, I guess, through community music are showing leadership and setting examples that a lot of young families who come into the hills to live suddenly find themselves involved in helping set up a concert band, taking responsibility for supporting the Fire Cycle. That Fire Cycle project had over two hundred performers, but it would have had a cast of two hundred and fifty backstage who felt a commitment and showed willingness (personal interview, 14 September 2007).

These leaders tend to be highly efficient communicators and listeners, with the ability to make everyone feel important. They are incredibly well

connected to a network of other teachers, musicians, council workers, and so on, and are skilled advocates. They are patient, have a sense of humor, and a loyal commitment to their communities, as well as a generosity of spirit.

### Community Collaborations

The most vibrant programs studied were those which connected across community groups and out into the broader community. As one might expect, such collaborations seemed to occur more frequently in smaller regional towns, where due to their smaller populations there was more interaction amongst musicians and groups. In such cases, community groups were interacting with one another on different levels, sometimes sharing concerts, other times sharing rehearsal venues, or working on large scale productions or festivals. In such instances, these community groups pooled together their financial resources, equipment and personnel to serve mutually beneficial purposes. Sometimes this was formalized and other times it occurred on a relatively informal basis. More often than not, these collaborations were formed by virtue of the groups having members in common.

While some of the community collaborations studied do occur on a regular basis, the most striking examples appeared to occur through flagship community events, which involved participation from the broader community beyond only music-makers. A striking example of this was found in the Dandenong Ranges with their Fire Cycle project, which culminated in a major community performance in 2005. The project was created in consultation with the community and was designed to educate and heal the community after a number of serious fires had taken both homes and lives in the area. It involved community song writing workshops with composers, commissioning of new music especially composed for the project, visual arts and dance to tell the community's fire stories, the production of a Fire Education music CD with fire brigades and the community, the premiere performance of the *Song of the Fireys (We're Not Heroes)*, a fire fighters torchlight parade at the Knox Festival, and the Fire Cycle Finale Concert at Belgrave Heights Convention Centre on October 8th and 9th, 2005. This project involved a range of local community singers, musicians, dancers, visual artists, circus performers, professional musicians, composers, choreographers and school students. It also included Parks Victoria, the Country Fire Authority, Shire of Yarra Ranges, environmental groups and emergency services personnel, the Community Forest Project and volunteer helpers and stage crew. The inspiration for this project came directly from current environmental issues facing the community and appeared to spawn new projects that looked at

different environmental issues, such as water conservation. Students from the Ferny Creek Primary School spoke about the excitement they felt being part of a big project with other schools, older people, and inspiring figures from the community such as the local fire-fighters. When I asked them about the importance of making music that relates to local community issues such as fire, a young student explained,

"I think it's really good because kids, especially, they don't really want to sit and hear people ramble on about this happened this time [...] so it's more interesting, especially to like children, to actually hear it in a different way." Another student interrupted and said, "Yeah. With music it's a lot harder to switch out than if someone's just talking" (focus group interview, 13 September 2007).

### Embracing Cultural Diversity

While the first three musical communities studied appeared to be largely monocultural (in the sense of Caucasian Australians with a primarily Anglo-Saxon frame of reference), the Fairfield case study provided a contrasting perspective due its cultural diversity. While the other three communities were certainly vibrant in terms of music-making and engaged large proportions of the local community in their activities, the nature of the music-making that was observed was primarily Western, although not always classical, in nature. Traditional bands, orchestras and choirs prevailed in all these contexts, but so did popular music, jazz, folk music, country music, pipes and drums, and so on. However, little cultural diversity was expressed through music, and when it was – for instance with Indigenous musicians – it was usually occurring on the margins. While this type of Western music activity was also present in Fairfield, the community's cultural diversity stood out as its defining feature. The single decisive factor people gave for Fairfield's vibrant community music scene was its cultural diversity. This was also strongly linked to its economic make-up: Fairfield is known for its affordable housing and social services for recent migrants.

In Fairfield we observed a very strong connection between local musicians' cultural identities and their chosen community music activities. Many spoke of the ways that music gives people, young and old, a means to express their cultural identity and feel a sense of pride about whom they are and where they come from. As Ramphay Chittasy from the Lao Temple explained to me,

In the Western society people are always rushing, but when we come here to the temple the young people learn about being graceful. The minute they put the costume on they don't run anymore [...] and they learn how to move their fingers to go along with the music, so it all synchronizes, the teacher, the drum, everything, the music, girls, and boys. It brings out the spirit of the



music and you can feel it with goose bumps, you know. It brings back something from our country, which is really needed. When you go out and say, where are you from? I say I'm from Laos and I'm very proud that we have something to treasure [...] (focus group interview, 21 February 2007).

A number of other "older" participants also spoke about the value of music in connecting the generations and "passing their culture on". Young people from particular cultures, such as the Pacific Islands, seemed to be accustomed to this, possibly due to their heavy involvement in singing at church and at home. Other children, such as a Vietnamese children's singing group seemed to enjoy the opportunity to learn the language that their parents speak at home through music. Some of the most vibrant community groups also spoke about their organizations in familial terms, discussing the ways in which a sense of cultural community and family is nurtured through their music-making together. Interestingly, many community music groups also provided "traditional" food to their members, and this also nurtured a social aspect to the rehearsals.

## LEARNING DYNAMICS

The second primary aim of this study has been to examine the types of learning and teaching found in Australian community music contexts, and how they can contribute towards an expanded view of music education in this country. The concept of learning is clearly integral to community music and the notion of community is clearly integral to music learning and teaching. As Jorgensen (1995) explains, "One of the most pervasive models underlying music education is that of community. Whether it be the Hindustani sitarist instructing his disciple in traditional manner, the Western classical pianist conducting her masterclass, the Australian Aboriginal songman teaching his young kinsman a love song, or the Balkan mother singing her daughter a lament, all participate in a community in which music making and taking plays a central role" (p. 71). Likewise, Wood & Judikis (2002) suggest, "One cannot belong to a true community without learning in the community and from the community. [...] every community educates – in making its decisions, in developing or agreeing upon values, in determining cultural norms, in negotiating differences among members and with other communities, and even in the everyday unstructured interactions and communications among community members" (p. 112). Of particular interest to this study is the ways in which people learn in community music settings and how these approaches can offer alternatives to the current methods of learning and teaching found in many Australian school settings.

In each of the four musical communities visited, a number of different learning and teaching methods were observed. These ranged from

traditional aural transmission, to experimental learning, to strongly technology-based ways of learning. While some were more structured with set rehearsal and performance schedules and administrative infrastructure, others were more unstructured and "ad hoc," where community members could simply "drop in" whenever they wanted to make music. While we did observe a diverse range of informal learning methods across these different community contexts, we also discovered a number of more formalized situations – where a facilitator took on the role of "the teacher," thereby defining the others as "students" (see Folkestad, 2006, p. 142). Sometimes this was because the facilitator was a school teacher and accustomed to a more formalized approach to learning, and other times, in more culturally diverse settings, this was because the facilitator was an "elder" and teacher of their cultural traditions. It would seem the most vibrant programs showed awareness and flexibility when it came to finding their positions on this spectrum of approaches (see Jaffurs, 2006).

A striking example of this was observed in the contemporary music program *Recipe for Jam* run at the Vancouver Arts Centre in Albany. In October 2007 fifteen emerging musicians gathered together for a one week residential music program that culminated in a free public performance. Mixing jazz, hip hop, rock, heavy metal, folk and roots they created the "right recipe" of music to captivate one another and their local community. The program included workshops on song writing and instrumental skills with experts and jam sessions with guest artists and bands. As it was a live-in program, there were large amounts of time for jamming and experimenting across different genres. In these cases the learning was based on a peer learning model that was clearly self-directed, where participants felt a sense of common purpose, assumed mutual responsibility, respected the individual differences among members, committed themselves to the well-being of each other and the group, and had a sense of ownership over the music. As a participant MJ Rogers, a self-described "metal-chick" explained,

There are so many genres, from metal to pop and the fact that you throw them in a house together [...] but the fact that we got along together and collaborated together [...] the diversity is pretty much what amazes me. [...] Very eye-opening; normally music genres tend to hang out together, but to be thrown into every genre at once is such a great experience. Like before, I'd never known how to structure a jazz song or known what's a blues chord, but just sitting there watching people do it, you just take it all in. [...] That's why I couldn't sleep, the music was just permanently there, it was all different styles, you know (personal interview, 14 October 2007).

This self-directed approach resonates with Mullen's (2002) statement that what excites him is the "way that the practice of community music seeks to move away from the expert teacher and willing pupils model of music transmission to a more dynamic and interactive community of participants" (Mullen, 2002). MJ went on to describe how they each were teachers of their own styles and learners of the others, often changing roles. As Veblen (2004) reminds us "a reoccurring theme in musical communities concerns the fluidity of knowledge, expertise, and roles, with individuals participating in various ways from observer, to participant, to creator, to leader."

In the case of *Recipe for Jam* motivation to participate and learn was sparked by fun, friendship, identity formation, exploration and ownership of the music. Such elements then motivated these participants to pursue their musical collaborations after the week had finished and form new groups. These are elements echoed by Green (2002):

Not only do identity, friendship and enjoyment go hand-in-hand with motivation, but they are also intrinsically and unavoidably connected to particular ways of learning: playing by ear, making both close copies and loose imitations of recordings by professional musicians who are respected and admired, transforming what is "picked up" into a piece of music, improvising, jamming and composing with friends, attempting to create music that both fits in with and is distinct from the sounds one enjoys hearing around [...] (p. 216).

The examples that Green gives resonate strongly with those found in the *Recipe for Jam* program, but were also observed in a number of the other community settings we researched; such as a group of "at risk" young people collaborating on their hip hop tunes in a "drop in" community centre studio, a folk club session where people shared their latest songs and compositions with one another in a large circle, a group of pipers sitting around a table imitating their leader who was reading music from an internet download, a drumming circle that played by ear and experimented by improvising with one another.

Many of the educators in this particular study also spoke about the benefits of learning music in a community environment. In such settings community music activities are not bound by restrictive curricula, standards and assessment needs. Instead, musicians are able to enjoy a greater sense of creativity and flexibility, with the opportunity to learn alongside people of different ages, abilities and backgrounds. Other educators saw community music activities as a healthy supplement to what is currently being offered by schools, particularly in circumstances where schools are lacking in resources and specialist teachers. However, these educators were also keen to point out that this doesn't necessarily flow in

one direction; often healthy school programs nourish healthy community music programs, by contributing teachers and students with high musical competencies. In fact, a number of the teachers we interviewed were also actively involved in music-making in their communities, not only as facilitators, but also as participants.

## MODELS FOR COMMUNITY-SCHOOL COLLABORATIONS

The third primary aim of this study has been to examine vibrant models for community-school collaborations, and how they can enrich both the offerings of school music programs and the activities of community groups. The need for such connections and collaborations, particularly in the lives of young Australians, is advocated by Temmerman (2005):

A central issue for all involved in the musical education of young people is how to connect the three contexts of the school, home and community to enhance positive attitudes towards music making, to build on existing opportunities to engage in music making, and to bring together the wealth of music activity, resources and expertise. The question "why connect?" is well answered by the fact that music plays an important role in young people's lives and that school, home and out-of-school musical experiences all contribute in important, differing ways (pp. 118-119).

The connections that Temmerman speaks about still need to be improved in the Australian context; however, there is a wealth of international discourse on such educational improvement efforts, where educators have launched many initiatives to bring the community into the school, take school programs and activities into the community, and create communities of learning within the school itself (Decker & Decker, 2003, p. 27). However, such efforts have not always succeeded, and schools have ended up functioning autonomously and communities have rejected the school's efforts at outreach. In such cases, Carruthers (2005) argues that the school suffers the most: "The community may remain unscathed by a school's insularity but the reverse is rarely true" (p. 3).

In terms of building these community-school partnerships so that they are sustainable, dynamic and successful, Decker & Decker (2003, p. 115-116) suggest that having a collaborative vision in decision making from the beginning and a clear structure for communication are crucial. They also suggest that acknowledgement of the specialties of the collaborating partners, as well as clearly defined roles and responsibilities are also important, as are clear goals with a method of measuring success, adequate funding, and an active connection with the local neighborhood and political processes. Looking at the models for school-community collaborations that we've observed in the four communities so far, I would also add the need for a shared sense of ownership, flexibility, engagement



with multiple community organizations beyond those involved in music and education, and a suitable venue that supports the collaboration. Of course there isn't a single model for developing such educational connections. The different levels of involvement and partnership will clearly vary from school to school depending on the local needs and circumstances.

A striking example of one of these community-school collaborations was found in the Dandenong Ranges. This collaboration works on a number of levels from the day-to-day to larger project-based work. The DRMC's music centre is located at Upwey High School in a building which was refurbished for this specific purpose. Some of the school instrumental and vocal music lessons occur in this building, and as a result, the DRMC is very accessible and visible because of this connection. Its permanent location on the school grounds is also beneficial in any grant or funding applications they submit; this physical permanency shows that the organization won't fold easily, has a sense of outreach, is sustainable, is visible, has a commitment to education and young people, and is worth funding. The school also provides a steady stream of students into the music activities run by the DRMC, such as after school lessons, evening ensembles, and major community projects. The school is also heavily involved in a number of the major projects that are run by the DRMC, not only providing students but also support and assistance from school teachers and administration. Some of these projects have also been the inspiration for new and innovative approaches to the music curricula. Speaking to those involved in both the DRMC and the school it is clear that it is a mutually beneficial relationship. The principal of Upwey High School, Greg Holman, described it as

Extremely effective; it's one that is based on a mutual understanding of the needs of the community of the school [...] and the needs of education especially in music [...]. It's supportive and both parties are supportive of each other. Both parties understand each other's needs. It's reliant on outside grants and self-funding [...]. It's a model based on trust and cooperation. Without the trust I don't think we'd be able to sustain it. [...] We see it as another resource for teaching staff to use that provides music education that wouldn't be available to some students. From a provisional point of view it's a very important educational organization because not all schools can provide music education (personal interview, 11 September 2007).

As Greg Holman suggests, the benefits of building such partnerships are wide ranging; from an improvement to the school's curricula and the quality of learning, to other factors which were mentioned later in the interview, such as the active involvement of parents and families in student learning, the professional development of teachers, community

leaders, and musicians (see Dreeszen, Aprill & Deasy, 1999, pp. 3-4). In terms of parental involvement, another striking model was found at the Community Carols run by Tatachilla Lutheran College in McLaren Vale. In this setting, parents, teachers, students and community members all work collaboratively to form various choirs, instrumental groups and a large-scale orchestra for this event every year. The model is driven by a commitment from the school towards intergenerational learning and community outreach.

## CONCLUSIONS

While this paper has provided a particularly brief and cursory glance at some of the key issues which are emerging in the "Sound Links" project, I have aimed to at least begin addressing some of the gaps in our understanding of the cultural, social and education dynamics of community music in Australia. Moreover, the topics discussed in this paper highlight a range of ideas, which might be worth discussing further at the CMA Seminar in light of the theme "Community Music and Leadership" from an Australian perspective. In particular, the issues which I highlighted in the methodology section about the use of ethnography in community music settings and the challenges of defining "fields of study" in community music projects are worthy of further consideration. The critical success factors which were identified in connection to inspiring leadership, community collaborations and cultural diversity are certainly worth "unpacking" further not only from an Australian perspective, but an international standpoint too. Finally, the key concepts which were raised in relation to the learning and teaching dynamics observed in the fieldwork settings, the benefits of community-centered music education and the models for community-school collaborations are also worth discussing in further depth.

## REFERENCES

- Amit, V., & Rapport, N. (2002). *The trouble with community: Anthropological reflections on movement, identity and collectivity*. London: Pluto.
- Australia Council. (2001). *Planning for the future: Issues, trends and opportunities for the arts in Australia*.
- Behar, R. (1999). Ethnography: Cherishing our second-fiddle genre. *Journal of Contemporary Ethnography*, 28(5), 472-484.
- Breen, M. (1994). Constructing the popular from public funding of community music: Notes from Australia. *Popular Music*, 13(3), 313-326.

Cahill, A. (1998). *The community music handbook: A practical guide to developing music projects and organisations*. Sydney: Currency Press in association with the Music Council of Australia.

Carruthers, G. (2005). Community music and the "musical community": Beyond conventional synergies [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Community Music, C* Retrieved April 27, 2007 from <http://www.intljcm.com/index.html>.

Coffman, D. D. (2006). Voices of experience: Interviews of adult community band members in Launceston, Tasmania, Australia [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Community Music, D* Retrieved April 27, 2007 from <http://www.intljcm.com/index.html>.

Decker, L. E., & Decker, V. A. (2003). *Home, school, and community partnerships*. Lanham, Md.: Scarecrow Press.

Department of Education, Science and Training. (2005). *Report of the national review of school music education*. Canberra: Australian Government, Department of Education, Science and Training.

Dreeszen, C., Aprill, A., & Deasy, R. J. (1999). *Learning partnerships: Improving learning in schools with arts partners in the community*. Washington, DC: Arts Education Partnership.

Dunn, A. (2006). Community partnerships scoping study report: Creative communities. Strawberry Hills, NSW: Australia Council.

Elliott, D., Higgins, L., & Veblen, K. (2008). Editorial. *International Journal of Community Music, 1*(1), 3-4.

Folkestad, G. (2006). Formal and informal learning situations or practices vs formal and informal ways of knowing. *British Journal of Music Education, 23*(2), 135-145.

Green, L. (2001). *How popular musicians learn: A way ahead for music education*. Burlington, VT.: Ashgate.

Harrison, G. (1996). *Community music in Australia*. Paper presented at the International Society for Music Education 1994 Seminar of the Commission on Community Music Activities, Athens.

Hawkins, G. (1993). *From Nimbin to Mardi Gras: Constructing community arts*. St. Leonards, NSW, Australia: Allen & Unwin.

Higgins, L. D. (2006). *Boundary-walkers: Contexts and concepts of community music*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, University of Limerick, Limerick, Ireland.

Jaffurs, S. E. (2006). The intersection of informal and formal music learning practices [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Community Music, D*. Retrieved April 27, 2007 from <http://www.intljcm.com/index.html>.

Jorgensen, E. R. (1995). Music education as community. *Journal of Aesthetic Education, 29*(3), 71-84.

Levesque-Lopman, L. (2000). Listen and you will hear: Reflections on interviewing from a feminist phenomenological perspective. In L. Fisher (Ed.), *Feminist phenomenology* (pp. 103-132). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Mason, A. (2000). *Community, solidarity and belonging: Levels of community and their normative significance*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.

Mullen, P. (2002). *We don't teach we explore: Aspects of community music delivery*. Paper presented at the International Society for Music Education Seminar of the Commission on Community Music Activities, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. Retrieved April 27, 2007 from <http://www.worldmusiccentre.com/uploads/cma/mullenteachexplore.PDF>.

Myers, D. (1992). Teaching learners of all ages. *Music Educators Journal, 79*(4), 23-26.

Tedlock, B. (2000). Ethnography and ethnographic representation. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications.

Temmerman, N. (2005). Children's participation in music: Connecting the cultural contexts - an Australian perspective. *British Journal of Music Education, 22*(2), 113-123.

Veblen, K. (2004). The many ways of community music [Electronic Version]. *International Journal of Community Music, A*. Retrieved April 27, 2007 from <http://www.intljcm.com/index.html>.

Veblen, K., & Olsson, B. (2002). Community music: Toward an international overview. In R. Colwell & C. Richardson (Eds.), *The new handbook of research on music teaching and learning* (pp. 730-753). New York: Oxford University Press.

Wood, G. S., & Judikis, J. C. (2002). *Conversations on community theory*. West Lafayette, Ind.: Purdue University Press.