

The measurement of low energy total absolute atomic collision cross sections with the metastable $^3\text{P}_2$ state of neon using a magneto-optical trap

K. J. Matherson, R. D. Glover, D. E. Laban, and R. T. Sang*
Centre for Quantum Dynamics, Griffith University, Nathan QLD 4111, Australia
(Dated: August 29, 2008)

We present total absolute collision cross sections for neon in the $^3\text{P}_2$ metastable state with ground state, thermal atoms and molecules using a recently developed experimental technique. The technique utilizes a magneto-optical trap (MOT) and involves the measurement of MOT population dynamics to determine the cross section. Collision cross section measurements are presented for metastable neon in the $^3\text{P}_2$ state with He, Ne, Ar, H_2 , O_2 , and N_2 . The average thermal energy of the collision ranges from 11 meV to 27 meV. The measurements made using this technique have small errors, of the order of 10% of the measured cross section and is capable of producing benchmark collision cross sections.

PACS numbers: 34.50.-s

The study of atomic collision processes provides important information for a number of research applications, such as, plasma physics and astrophysics. These interactions are also important to practical applications and new technologies, such as, lasers, plasma televisions and electrical discharges [1]. The data produced by experimentally measuring these processes in the form of collision cross sections provides a means of rigorously testing theoretical quantum mechanical calculations. Metastable noble gases are especially interesting since the energy content of these excited states is higher than the ionization potential of most known atomic and molecular species and their lifetimes are much longer than typical collision times in the gas phase [2]. These collisions are also of general interest in excited state chemistry [3]. Metastable states also play a role in the transfer of excitation via collisions and can mediate changes in the internal states of other atoms, a process used in devices such as the HeNe laser.

In this investigation the average thermal energy of the collisions is between 11 meV and 27 meV. At these energies the dominant interaction between a metastable and ground state atom during a collision event is the elastic scattering process (1). Other possible collision processes are associative ionization (2) and Penning ionization (3).



Experimental investigations of such collision processes are traditionally carried out using a crossed beam technique. In these experiments two perpendicular collimated atomic (or molecular) beams are crossed in a well defined interaction region and the products of collisions are then detected. Detailed studies have been carried out for metastable rare gas systems with various other noble gas atoms, diatomics, molecules and electrons using a crossed beam technique [4–11]. Total ionization cross section measurements as well as Penning and associative ionization cross section measurements have been performed for mixed and unmixed metastable Ne in the $^3\text{P}_2$ and $^3\text{P}_0$ states [2, 3, 12, 13]. Differential elastic cross sections have been measured for some metastable systems using the cross beam method [14–16]. The angular resolution is provided by a narrow slit placed before the scattered particle detector [17]. The technique is quite useful for differential cross section measurements but absolute cross sections are difficult to determine since the absolute number of target atoms as well as the the overlap volume of the beams is required to be known accurately. This is a major source of error for this type of experiment [18]. Velocity dependent elastic cross sections have been made for noble gases with other atoms and molecules using velocity selected beams to alter the interaction energy [15, 19]. Rothe *et al* [20, 21] have measured total absolute collision cross sections of metastable He and Ne at large average relative velocities of 1000 – 3000 ms^{-1} using a beam-gas attenuation method.

In this paper, we present new measurements for the total absolute collision cross section between cold Ne^* in the $^3\text{P}_2$ metastable state and room temperature (295 K) He, Ne, Ar, H_2 , O_2 , and N_2 .

The experiment was carried out using a magneto-optical trap (MOT) where Ne in the $^3\text{P}_2$ metastable state is confined in a potential well of approximately 4 mK. The total absolute collision cross section is measured using a

*Electronic address: R.Sang@griffith.edu.au

technique that has been recently developed [22]. The method is based upon the principle that the collision cross section between the trapped atoms and a collision species can be determined by measuring the trap loss rate. This is achieved by introducing a collision species into the trapping region thus increasing the number of collision events. Collisions where associative or Penning ionization take place removes an atom from the trap as the Ne is left with an internal quantum state that is insensitive to the MOT trapping force. Elastic collisions lead to trap loss if sufficient collisional momentum is transferred from the hot collision species to the trapped metastable Ne atoms. At relatively low energies the total ionization cross section for Ne* is small compared to the total cross section (see table I) therefore elastic collisions are the dominant trap loss mechanism. To make a measurement, the trap loading is turned off and the change in the loss rate is measured by observing the population dynamics of the trapped atoms as the MOT decays. The advantage of performing these studies using this method is that the MOT provides a pure source of Ne in the 3P_2 metastable state. It is also advantageous that no knowledge of the trap population or overlap volume is required, it is only necessary for the loss rate and absolute density of the collision species to be measured. This technique is similar to a method used to measure photoionisation cross sections [23, 24], total absolute electron-atom collision cross sections [25] and total absolute ionization cross sections from Rb [26].

The rate of change of the number of trapped atoms is determined by the loading and the loss rates of the trap. In a low density MOT, where the spacial distribution in the trap is Gaussian, it is given by [27];

$$\frac{dN_t}{dt} = R_L - N_t(\Gamma_B) - \frac{\beta N_t^2}{V_{eff}}, \quad (4)$$

where N_t is the number of trapped metastable Ne atoms, R_L is the loading rate of the trap, Γ_B is the trap loss rate due to collisions with residual background gas atoms, β is the density dependent loss rate for collisions between trapped atoms and $V_{eff} = 8\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}w$ with w being the FWHM of the trap distribution. The addition of a collision species to the trapping region changes the population dynamics and hence equation 4 is modified to;

$$\frac{dN_t}{dt} = R_L - N_t(\Gamma_B + \Gamma_C) - \frac{\beta N_t^2}{V_{eff}}, \quad (5)$$

where Γ_C is the additional loss rate due to the introduced collision species. The cross section σ , for collisions between the trapped atoms and the collision species, can be found by measuring Γ_C and is given by [28];

$$\sigma = \frac{\Gamma_C}{n\bar{v}}, \quad (6)$$

where n is the density of the collision species and \bar{v} is the relative collision velocity which can be approximated as the mean velocity of the collision species and is given by;

$$\bar{v} = \sqrt{\frac{8k_B T}{\pi m}}, \quad (7)$$

where T is the temperature and m is the mass of the collision species. If the loading rate R_L is set to zero then the decay from steady state can be found by solving equation 5 which yields;

$$N_t(t) = \frac{N_t(0)e^{-t(\Gamma_B + \Gamma_C)}}{1 + \left\{ \frac{\beta N_t(0)}{V_{eff}(\Gamma_B + \Gamma_C)} \right\} \{1 - e^{-t(\Gamma_B + \Gamma_C)}\}} \quad (8)$$

The loss rate due to collisions with the introduced collision species Γ_C , can be found by fitting equation 8 to the measured trap decay. The cross section can then be found by plotting Γ_C as a function of n , the absolute density of the collision species. This is a linear relationship (equation 6) where the gradient is proportional to the collision cross section σ .

The apparatus used for the experiment has been described in detail elsewhere [22] and can be seen in figure 1. Briefly, a beam of metastable Ne atoms is created in a hollow cathode discharge type source that is liquid nitrogen cooled to reduce the mean atomic thermal velocity to 500 ms⁻¹. The metastable atom flux from the source is approximately 1×10^{14} atoms sr⁻¹ s⁻¹. The beam of atoms is subsequently longitudinally slowed to approximately 20 ms⁻¹ using a counterpropagating, red-detuned laser beam combined with a Zeeman slower. The atoms are then trapped in the MOT which consists of three retro-reflected, orthogonal, circularly polarized, 25 mm diameter laser beams, red detuned from the cooling transition by typically three linewidths. The trapping field has a typical magnetic gradient of 0.25 Tm⁻¹. The cooling transition is 640.4 nm from the 3P_2 metastable state to the 3D_3 excited state with a saturation intensity, I_0 , of 4.2 mW cm⁻² [27]. The lifetime of the 3P_2 metastable state is 14.7 s [29] which is much




FIG. 1: Schematic of the Ne* trap apparatus, including, the metastable Ne source, Zeeman slower, trap B-field coils and the associated laser beams

longer than any measurement being made so can be treated as an effective ground state. The excited 3D_3 state has a lifetime of 18.7ns [27]. Decay from the 3D_3 state is only possible via a single channel to the 3P_2 state and is a “closed transition”, that is, only these two states play a role in the optical process.

The observed spherical atom cloud typically consists of approximately 10^7 atoms with a diameter of 2 mm. The fluorescence from the trapped atoms is used to monitor the population dynamics. A 12 bit CCD camera with an external optics system to provide 10X magnification is used to measure the fluorescence.

The collision species is introduced into the trap chamber via stainless steel gas lines with the flow controlled by a variable leak valve. The background pressure of the MOT vacuum chamber during operation is typically 10^{-8} Torr. The partial pressure of the introduced species is monitored using a calibrated residual gas analyzer (RGA). The technique requires the absolute pressure of the collision species to be known accurately. The calibration of the RGA in the pressure range of interest ($10^{-7} - 10^{-9}$ Torr) was performed by the dynamic expansion method [30] for each gas species. The dynamic expansion method is utilized in vacuum systems that are permanently evacuated by vacuum pumps. Full calibration details are given in previous work [22]. The pressure calibration is the largest contribution to error for this technique and results in an error of eight percent of the measured density of the collision species.

Two other processes contribute to the measurement error, the excited state fraction of the trapped atoms and recapture after a small angle scattering event. Error due to the excited state fraction is a result of the trapping laser beams remaining in operation during the measurement. The measured cross section will therefore have a contribution from both the $^3P_2(m_J=2)$ metastable state and the $^3D_3(m_J=3)$ excited state. Typical operating parameters ($I \approx 2I_0$, $\delta \approx 3\gamma$) yields a calculated excited state fraction of 1.4% of the total trapped atoms. This leads to an error in the measured 3P_2 cross section of only a few percent [22].

The small angle scattering error is due to recapture of an atom after a scattering event. Recapture can occur if the momentum transferred to a trapped atom, in an elastic collision event, results in a velocity gain that is less than the escape velocity of the trap. In this case the atom has undergone a collision, however, the collision will not contribute to the measured cross section.

The contribution to the error due to both of these processes can be estimated by changing the trapping parameters. In order to achieve this, the trapping laser beams are further red detuned altering the excited state fraction and the escape velocity of the trap. The escape velocity of the trap ranges from approximately 5ms^{-1} to 35ms^{-1} depending on the detuning. For the case when the escape velocity is 5ms^{-1} , the minimum scattering angle for Ar can be calculated to be approximately 30mrad. The critical angle for small scattering angle resolution is given by [31];

$$\theta_c = \frac{\pi \hbar}{\mu v_{rel} \left(\frac{\sigma_{tot}}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (9)$$

For Ar at 400ms^{-1} $\theta_c \approx 30\text{mrad}$ which implies that the majority of the small angle scattering events are resolved.




FIG. 2: The total absolute collision cross section of Ne* in the 3P_2 state and H₂ as a function of the trapping laser detuning. The straight line indicates the averaged cross section value. The data shows no significant dependence on detuning.

TABLE I: The total absolute collision cross section from metastable Ne in the 3P_2 state. ^a Measurements are for this work. The errors quoted are, respectively, the statistical and systematic errors. ^b Measurements are from [21], the average collision energy for these measurements is significantly higher (~ 115 meV) and the quoted error is estimated to be on the order of 25%. ^c The total ionization cross section for collision with metastable Ne in a mixed composition of 3P_2 and 3P_0 [6] have been included for comparison purpose. The relative collision energies are between 33meV – 47meV.

| Species | \bar{v} (m.s ⁻¹) | Relative Collision Energy (meV) | σ_M (Å ²) ^a | σ_R (Å ²) ^b | σ_{TI} (Å ²) ^c |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|--|
| He | 1249.0 | 27.0 | $164.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 15.5$ | 123 | |
| Ne | 556.3 | 16.2 | $499.1 \pm 4.1 \pm 47.1$ | 143 | |
| Ar | 395.4 | 10.9 | $837.7 \pm 15.7 \pm 79.0$ | 398 | 3.46 – 30.5 |
| H ₂ | 1760.0 | 29.4 | $230.1 \pm 2.8 \pm 21.7$ | | 2.6 – 23.4 |
| O ₂ | 441.8 | 12.5 | $1026.8 \pm 12.7 \pm 96.9$ | | 25.5 |
| N ₂ | 472.1 | 13.5 | $1259.0 \pm 16.5 \pm 118.8$ | | 10.4 |

The relative error associated with missing small angle elastic scattering is then 1% [32]. The same analysis can be carried out for He and yields a smaller error of 0.1%.

Figure 2 is a typical graph of the cross section as the detuning of the trapping laser is varied. This data shows no change of statistical significance, suggesting that the contribution to the cross section from both the excited 3D_3 state and the small angle scattering is not significant compared to the total error.

Results are taken by measuring the total decay rate (due to collisions with background atoms and the collision species), $(\Gamma_B + \Gamma_C)$, as a function of the absolute density of the collision species, n . The collision cross section can then be found from equation 6, as described previously. The decay rates are measured multiple times (>10) at each pressure to reduce the statistical error of the measurement. A fit to the data gives the cross section with a fitting error of approximately 2–10%. Repeating this measurement multiple times and taking a weighted average of the data and reduces the statistical error to $\sim 1\%$. As stated previously, the most significant contribution to the error is due to the measurement of the absolute density of the collision species (8%). This error is added in quadrature to a systematic error of 5% which is included to account for errors due to the excited state fraction and small angle scattering. Table I gives the measured cross sections between metastable Ne in the 3P_2 state with the ground states of He, Ne, Ar, H₂,

O₂, and N₂. Previously reported data for metastable Ne collisions with Ar [22], have been updated due to an error in the density measurement for this rare gas. It should be noted that the cross section for Ne^{*}-He is completely elastic since the metastable Ne atom does not contain enough energy to ionize the He atom.

At low velocities it is expected that elastic, van der Waals type interactions should dominate and the cross section can be approximated as [31];

$$\sigma_{tot}(v_{rel}) \approx 8 \left(\frac{C_6}{\hbar v_{rel}} \right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \quad (10)$$

To estimate the total cross section over the velocity range, the rate of collisions leading to trap loss is calculated for each velocity using equation 10 and integrated from the capture velocity of the MOT over the Maxwellian distribution. The result can then be compared with the experimentally measured cross section. This approximation should be most accurate for the Ne^{*}-He interaction since the cross section is entirely elastic. Using this method and C_6 equal to 340 kcal.mol⁻¹Å⁶ [33], the total elastic cross section for metastable Ne in the ³P₂ state with thermal ground state He can be calculated to be 168.88 Å². This value lies within the error for the experimentally measured cross section value and further validates the cross sections measured in this work.

We believe this measurement to be unique due to the low energy of the collision and the relatively low error of each measurement. For comparison purposes, the only similar measurement from the literature is that of Rothe *et al* [21] (Table I). In that work the total absolute collision cross sections for metastable Ne in the ³P₂ state with thermal rare gases are measured at approximately 4 times the collision energy used in our work. The cross sections are roughly the same order of magnitude as our work but are consistently smaller. This is not a surprising result given the higher energies of the collision partners since a trend exists for the elastic cross section to decrease with an increase in collision energy [34]. It should be noted that the sources of error in the Rothe *et al* [21] measurements are roughly estimated to be 25% of the measured cross section. We believe that our technique yields vastly superior measurement errors of approximately 9.5% of the measured cross section.

I. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council and Griffith University. K.J.M., R.D.G. and D.E.L. were supported by Australian Postgraduate Awards.

-
- [1] G. Veronis, U. S. Inan, and V. P. Pasko, *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **28**, 1271 (2000).
 - [2] A. Aguilar, S. Bianco, B. Brunetti, M. Gonzalez, and F. Vecchiocattivi, *Molecular Physics* **71**, 897 (1990).
 - [3] A. Aguilar-Navarro, B. Brunetti, S. Rosi, F. Vecchiocattivi, and G. G. Volpi, *J. Chem. Phys.* **82**, 773 (1984).
 - [4] R. H. Neynaber and G. D. Magnuson, *Physical Review A* **11**, 865 (1975).
 - [5] A. Niehaus, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **45**, 399 (1981).
 - [6] A. J. Yencha, in *Electron Spectroscopy* (Academic Press, 1984), vol. 5.
 - [7] H. Hotop, in *Electronic and Atomic Collisions* (North-Holland, 1980), p. 271.
 - [8] P. E. Siska, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **65**, 337 (1993).
 - [9] M. Jacka, J. Kelly, B. Lohmann, and S. J. Buckman, *J. Phys. B* **28**, L361 (1995).
 - [10] A. Siegel, J. Ganz, W. Bußert, and H. Hotop, *J. Phys. B* **16**, 2945 (1983).
 - [11] R. Kau, I. D. Petrov, V. L. Sukhorukov, and H. Hotop, *J. Phys. B* **29**, 5673 (1996).
 - [12] W. P. West, T. B. Cook, F. B. Dunning, R. D. Rundel, and R. F. Stebbings, *J. Chem. Phys.* **63**, 1237 (1975).
 - [13] B. Brunetti, S. Falcinelli, A. Sassara, J. de Andres, and F. Vecchiocattivi, *Chemical Physics* **209**, 205 (1996).
 - [14] R. W. Gregor and P. E. Siska, *J. Chem. Phys.* **74**, 1078 (1981).
 - [15] J. Baudon, P. Feron, C. Miniatura, F. Perales, J. Reinhardt, and J. Roberts, *J. Chem. Phys.* **95**, 1801 (1991).
 - [16] H. Haberland, Y. T. Lee, and P. E. Siska, *Adv. Chem. Phys.* **45**, 487 (1981).
 - [17] P. Feron, F. Perales, B. Decomps, J. Robert, J. Reinhardt, and J. Baudon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **160**, 555 (1989).
 - [18] F. J. d. Heer and M. Inokuti, *Electron Impact Ionization* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
 - [19] E. R. T. Kerstel, M. F. M. Janssens, K. A. H. V. Leeuwen, and H. C. W. Beijerinck, *Chem. Phys.* **119**, 325 (1988).
 - [20] E. W. Rothe, R. H. Neynaber, and S. M. Trujillo, *J. Chem. Phys.* **42**, 3310 (1965).
 - [21] E. W. Rothe and R. H. Neynaber, *J. Chem. Phys.* **42**, 3306 (1965).
 - [22] K. J. Matherson, R. D. Glover, D. E. Laban, and R. T. Sang, *Rev. Sci. Ins.* **78**, 073102 (2007).
 - [23] T. P. Dinneen, C. D. Wallace, K. Y. N. Tan, and P. L. Gould, *Opt. Lett.* **17**, 1706 (1992).
 - [24] B. J. Claessens, J. P. Ashmore, R. T. Sang, W. R. MacGillivray, H. C. W. Beijerinck, and E. J. D. Vredenbregt, *Phys. Rev. A* **73**, 012706 (2006).
 - [25] R. S. Schappe, P. Feng, L. W. Anderson, C. C. Lin, and T. Walker, *Europhys. Lett.* **29**, 439 (1995).

- [26] R. S. Schappe, T. Walker, L. W. Anderson, and C. C. Lin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 4328 (1996).
- [27] H. J. Metcalf and P. V. d. Straten, *Laser Cooling and Trapping* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999).
- [28] E. W. McDaniel, *Atomic Collisions* (Wiley, New York, 1989).
- [29] M. Zinner, P. Spoden, T. Kraemer, G. Birkl, and W. Ertmer, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 010501(R) (2003).
- [30] W. Umrath, *Fundamentals of Vacuum Technology* (Leybold, 1998).
- [31] J. J. H. van den Biesen, in *Atomic and Molecular Beam Methods* (Oxford University Press, 1988), vol. 1, p. 472.
- [32] H. Pauly, *Z. Physik* **157** (1959).
- [33] T. Fukuyama and P. Siska, *Chemical Physics Letters* **39**, 418 (1976).
- [34] J. E. Cohen and B. Schneider, *Phys. Rev. A* **11**, 884 (1975).