# **Developing intercultural skills for Business students**

Mr Peter R Woods and Associate Professor Michelle C Barker School of Management Griffith University

Abstract: Intercultural skills have been increasingly important to business graduates operating in global economies. This paper evaluates the adaptation of the ExcelL Intercultural Skills Program to an international human resource management course, and examines the effectiveness of integrating case study methods with the skills-based ExcelL program. The primary purpose of the study was to assess the usefulness of ExcelL within a sample of third-year university business students. Four methodologies were used: (1) questionnaires, (2) case studies, (3) course evaluation surveys and (4) focus groups. The questionnaire and case study methods used pre-test and post-test designs to compare participants' knowledge and micro-skills before and after the ExcelL program. The post-course focus groups gathered participants' opinions about intercultural skill improvements gained through the ExcelL program, and advice on potential improvements to the program for use in business coursework contexts. After completing the program, participants demonstrated improvements in intercultural competencies required in business contexts. Additionally, participants demonstrated improved identification and articulation of cross-cultural business problems and formulation of appropriate intervention strategies to address these problems. Key themes were identified from the focus groups that will be incorporated and assessed in future versions of ExcelL designed for a business audience. Considering that previous studies have consistently supported the usefulness of the ExcelL program in multicultural university environments, and this study supporting the application of ExcelL in business coursework settings, it is proposed that a modified version of ExcelL may provide businesses with training programs that gainfully assist adaptation within increasingly multicultural business environments.

## Introduction

The need for intercultural training for Australian business graduates has been well established by the Karpin report, commissioned by the Federal government in 1992 to help prepare Australian managers for the "Asia-Pacific Century" (Karpin, 1995). . The report highlighted the fact that Australian managers were seen as "unwilling to adapt to cultural differences and appeared to be unaware of cultural differences between countries" (Dawkins, Savery & Mazzarol, 1995, p. 37). Since the report's publication, business schools throughout Australia have attempted to raise the standard of crosscultural management skills through specialist international business degrees and courses. An accompanying trend has been the growing number of international students in Australia's business school classrooms, potentially providing a rich source of diversity for developing culturally savvy managers. The realisation of this potential, however, is unclear, with a similar situation in the UK resulting in a sometimes widening gap between international students and local students, even in classrooms where intercultural skills are being taught (Ledwith & Seymour, 2001). This research paper presents the evaluation of the introduction of the ExcelL intercultural skills training program to an undergraduate International Human Resource Management (IHRM) classroom. The ExcelL program provides an excellent mechanism for students to explain how communication behaviour is different in their culture, and the underlying values that influence the behaviour (Barker, Troth & Mak, 2002).

Local, international and immigrant students have gained increased skills in intercultural communication and improved their understanding of other cultures through their participation in the ExcelL program (Barker, Troth & Mak, 2002; Mak, 2000; Mak, Barker, Millman & Logan, 1998). However, where the ExcelL program has been embedded in

business courses, as opposed to an extra-curricular workshop for volunteers, the learning benefits related to business coursework objectives are unclear (Barker *et al*, 2002). Using course feedback channels, participants have also questioned how the skills can be applied to the relevant business context (Barker *et al*, 2002). The application of the program to business situations, its integration into an undergraduate curriculum and its efficacy in teaching relevant intercultural management skills are therefore the major issues that require evaluation.

### **Teaching of International Human Resource Management**

The teaching of International Human Resource Management in the undergraduate context provides a number of specific challenges, some of which are identified by DeCieri, Cox and Fenwick (2001) in their critical examination of teaching strategic IHRM. These challenges include quarding against the oversimplifications of cultural naïveté, the "narrow exclusiveness of ethnocentrism" and the "patronization of paternalism" (DeCieri, Wolfram-Cox & Fenwick, 2001). It is often difficult for the lecturer to resist the temptation to use simplistic cultural generalisations and to use the home country as the reference point for examining cultural values. The teacher must also be aware that the classroom is rich with cultural knowledge and experiences, especially in the multicultural Australian university business classroom. The challenge for the teacher as facilitator, therefore, is to provide a teaching environment that taps into this cultural diversity to welcome diversity through affirmation of differing cultural perspectives and to enable students to share those perspectives with the class. Further to this, the culturally diverse classroom presents an opportunity to experience and demonstrate the creation of culturally synergistic solutions through application of Adler's (2002) cultural synergy model in task oriented group settings.

Despite the opportunity that the culturally diverse classroom provides, evaluative survey research amongst 172 culturally diverse students in UK business schools (enrolled in a International Human Resource Management course), found that task-based interactions amongst diverse students in the classroom were often perceived negatively (Ledwith & Seymour, 2001). In addressing this, the researchers found that an assessment-based process of requiring students to manage and respect cultural differences within multicultural groups produced generally positive experiences of classroom diversity (Ledwith & Seymour, 2001). They recommended that a more deliberate, structured approach to managing classroom diversity focussing on the development of perceptual and interpersonal skills and the development of diversity competencies would help in developing intercultural competence in global oriented business students (Ledwith & Seymour, 2001).

The development of intercultural skills for globally operating managers has been long established in the discipline related literature. There are a number of established methods of developing these skills including role-plays, simulations, sensitivity training, field experiences (Blake, Heslin & Curtis, 1996; Woods, 2000), however most of these methods have been tested in the organisational setting rather than the higher education setting. The preferred methods in many higher education settings is didactic instruction and group discussion (Friga, Bettis & Sullivan, 2003; Kumar & Usinier, 2001), whereas role-based training in groups has been suggested to be more effective in developing intercultural skills. A combination of methods including also the case study method (McNair, 1954; Christensen & Hansen, 1987; Rees & Porter, 2002) has the potential to develop graduates with both analytical and practical skills.

### The ExcelL program

The ExcelL program utilises classroom cultural diversity, student experiences and roleplays to develop specific intercultural skills. ExcelL is a theory-driven and evidence-based group program designed to enhance international students' confidence and skills in academic, social and career contexts (Mak, 2000). Previous research has found that the resulting increased behavioural competencies decrease the stress associated with cross-cultural encounters, encouraging social contact with, rather than avoidance of, students from other cultures (Mak, Westwood, Barker & Ishiyama, 1998). Further, ExcelL has demonstrable benefits for improving intercultural understanding between local Australian, international and immigrant students, thus maximising the potential benefits of the cultural diversity within university environments (Mak, Barker, Millman & Logan, 1998).

The ExcelL program has been demonstrated to provide optimal conditions for international students to acquire the requisite knowledge and skills for successful social interactions with host nationals, while maintaining their cultural identity, through incorporating various special features (Mak, Westwood, Ishiyama & Barker, 1999). These features include the use of facilitator-based group work using rehearsal and retention methods based on cultural maps. These cultural maps provide succinct descriptions of effective ways of behaving in specific social contexts such as asking a lecturer for help. Cultural maps use a sequence of precise and concrete micro-skills (both verbal and non-verbal). The use of this method leads to decreased intercultural social anxiety by increasing social confidence. This social confidence is developed through mastery of actual skills that would be used in the "real world".

The ExcelL program uses six stages to progress participants from initial contact to the point of being able to use the new skills in intercultural settings. Prior to beginning this process, each session begins with an introduction where participants are welcomed or welcomed back. For continuing participants, their progress through the previously taught competencies is reviewed, and feedback and encouragement given. The first stage is called building "alliance and assess". This involves participants contemplating how they would behave in a social interaction in their home culture, and sharing these observations.

The second stage is the development of the cultural map. The cultural map is developed from the observations stimulated within the first phase, with participants using the ExcelL manual to record the version of the cultural map that is most useful for them. Facilitators emphasise that maps are not prescriptive, but rather guides for participants to use and adapt. In the third stage, trainers demonstrate appropriate sequences of micro-skills represented in the cultural map. The participants then practice these skills, and further coaching from the facilitator is provided if required. Feedback and encouragement is given in the fourth stage.

The fifth stage involves participants setting goals and recording a contract in their manual that describes where and when they plan to practice the new skills in the week ahead with someone from a cultural background different to their own. They are encouraged to use the reflective exercises that are in the manual to focus their learning about the interaction and to identify areas that require ongoing work. This leads to the final sixth stage, where the participants transfer the learning to actual settings. The transfer of learning occurs when the participants report on their experience of practicing the competency in the real-life situation and flexibility required to adapt these skills to different situations. Facilitators discuss how students can continue to use their analytical skills of dividing a scenario into components (the "map"), as well as their behavioural and reflective skills.

### Case study method

The case study method of teaching focuses on engaging students in a reflexive dialogic process aiming for conceptual, decisional, strategic and problem-focussed analysis of a written or video case about a real company facing specific business problems (Christensen & Hansen, 1987). The application of this method to teaching business students has been identified as originating almost 50 years ago from the Harvard

Business School (McNair, 1954), and has been adopted in various forms in teaching business students at both the graduate and undergraduate level (Desiraju & Gopinath, 2001; Forman & Rymer, 1999). The benefits of the approach have been identified as the development of diagnostic skills, subject and functional integration, deep learning, and the involvement and motivation of students (Rees & Porter, 2002). The method, therefore, could provide a course related context in which intercultural skills could be critically examined in operation.

#### **The Course Context**

The course, International Human Resource Management, was offered to 90 third year undergraduates and three postgraduates at Griffith University in 2003. The 13-week course was structured around fortnightly two-hour lectures involving the whole class and two-hour fortnightly workshops of no more that 20 students. Four of the six fortnightly workshops focussed on a particular intercultural skill from the ExcelL program, applied to an IHRM case study from the course textbook. The case studies centred on an IHRM scenario, providing the context for problem identification, strategy formulation, role-play setting and cultural map (skills application) focus in the workshop. Participation and learning from the workshops was not directly assessed, with a 35% case study analysis assignment chosen by the student from the case studies examined in workshops, the only connection between the workshops and overall assessment.

#### Integration with case study method

Most business courses, specifically management and human resource management courses, focus on the development of analytical skills and understanding of theoretical concepts in management. The ExcelL program, however is focussed on developing practical skills in intercultural communication. ExcelL was originally framed as an adaptation program, with is stated aims being to help "international and immigrant students to learn skills that will improve their opportunities for success in the new country" (Westwood, Mak, Barker & Ishiyama, 2001). The course, International Human Resource Management, has two objectives being "that students will demonstrate a theoretical and applied understanding of the unique challenges of International HRM and the skill sets necessary for managers operating in a global environment." ExcelL offers development of the skill set whereas the case study method offers development of an applied understanding of the challenges of IHRM. An example of the kind of intercultural skills needed in specific business contexts would be utilising intercultural communication skills in negotiating an employment contract with subsidiary employees in an Asian/Pacific country. The application of intercultural skills to specific business contexts enables a more integrated teaching design, strengthening the links between the skills and the course content. Applying the ExcelL intercultural skills training to relevant case studies in workshops, has the potential to actualise these goals.

The effectiveness of integrating the case study method with the skills based ExcelL program requires careful evaluation, and this is a focus of this study. The primary purpose of the study was to assess the usefulness of ExcelL within a sample of higher-level university business students. Previous research has examined the efficacy of ExcelL in postgraduate university student samples (Barker *et al*, 2002), but the logical extension to undergraduate business student participants had yet to be researched. The adapted ExcelL program's specific learning outcomes are, therefore, that:

- 1. Students will demonstrate improved skills in identifying cross-cultural interaction problems in business situations;
- 2. Students will improve in being able to identify appropriate strategies to overcome problems in cross-cultural business interactions; and
- 3. Students will report increased self-confidence and feelings of self-efficacy in cross-cultural situations.

### Methodology

#### **Participants**

International Human Resource Management is a third-year undergraduate course with open enrolment and is a core course in the human resource management major of the Bachelor of Commerce degree. Ninety-three students were enrolled in the course, with 72% of the class female and 28% of the class male. Of the students, 28% were enrolled as international students. Most of the students were enrolled in the Bachelor of Commerce (66%) with 10% enrolled in International Business and nine other programs were represented. Forty-six participants completed the pre-test phase of the questionnaire, and twenty-three of these completed the post-test phase. Only thirteen of these questionnaires could be matched as a particular participant's pre and post ExcelL questionnaire.

### **Procedures**

The study was conducted using four methodologies: (1) questionnaires, (2) case study analysis (3) focus groups and (4) a course evaluation. The questionnaires and case study methods used pre-test and post-test designs to compare participants' knowledge and micro-skills before and after completing the ExcelL program. To complement this, the focus groups were conducted at the end of the program as a means of gathering participants' opinions about developments in intercultural skills gained through the ExcelL program, and advice on potential improvements to the program for future course offerings. The course evaluation survey was conducted at the end of the course with 85 out of 93 enrolled students participating. These four methods were used to allow an expanded analysis of the outcomes of the ExcelL program in the course.

Two case studies were presented to the participants, one prior to the ExcelL program and one after the ExcelL program. Participants recorded their conclusions about the most culturally appropriate ways to behave within these scenarios by answering the questions listed in Table 1. The case studies presented intercultural problems and issues, in order to allow participants the opportunity to report the skills they would use to bring about the most satisfactory conclusion. These responses were transcribed and then rated according to set criteria to determine a score of 1 = low accuracy, 2 = medium accuracy and 3 = high accuracy. The accuracy of responses were compared between the pre- and post-ExcelL.

At the end of the ExcelL course, two groups of four participants formed focus groups facilitated by independent facilitators. Their purpose was to evaluate the ExcelL program in the course and to generate ideas about how the ExcelL program might be improved. A modified version of the nominal group technique (Delbecq, Van de Ven & Gustafson, 1975) was used, with individuals generating ideas independently before bringing them back to the group. These ideas were then compared and categorised to distil key themes. In order to reduce the opportunity for groupthink, the key themes from each group were compared and the main themes extracted. Students were then able to vote for the three most appropriate responses to the focus group questions, with 3 points for the most appropriate. The results of the focus group votes were later combined to determine the most popular responses to each question (Table 7).

## Measures

The questionnaire administered to ExcelL participants both before and after the program included the Interaction Skills Checklist (Ishiyama, 1996). This checklist asks participants to rate their social interaction skills on 33 items using a seven-point scale

from 1 = not at all effective to 7 = extremely effective. The checklist yields a total interaction skills score as well as six subscale scores being processing skills, active engagement skills, self-enhancement skills, approaching skills, assertive skills and interruption skills. This checklist assesses not only a person's perception of their skills, but their self-confidence and feelings of self-efficacy in utilising these skills.

The case study questions were designed to assess three particular areas that were related to the course objective of "examining the skill sets necessary for managers operating globally." The first question assessed the participant's ability to accurately identify intercultural problems by asking "What do you think are the main intercultural problems evident in the case study?" The second question assessed the ability to accurately select appropriate intercultural skills by asking "What intercultural skills would have helped in resolving the problems presented in the case study?" The third question asked "What strategy would you suggest to help resolve the intercultural problems evident in the case study?" to assess the participant's ability to create an intercultural solution strategy.

The course evaluation questionnaire was developed from the course evaluation questions made available by the Griffith Institute of Higher Education (Armstrong & Conrad, 1994). A total of 28 closed ended questions were selected, where participants respond to statements using a six-point scale from "strongly agree" to "strongly disagree". The course evaluation questionnaire also contained four open-ended questions for participants to respond to (Table 3).

The focus groups asked five questions (Table 7) related to the relevance of ExcelL to the course content and course objectives (question 1), the relevance of the skills to learning about IHRM (question 2), the effectiveness of ExcelL in teaching intercultural skills (question 3), the effectiveness of using the case study method in applying intercultural skills (question 4), and the usefulness and areas for improvement for the participant's manual (question 5).

Table 1: Focus group questions

	Question									
1.	What was relevant in the ExcelL program to the practice of International									
	Human Resource Management?									
2.	The intercultural skills taught in the ExcelL program were:									
	Participating in a group									
	Making social contact									
	Expressing disagreement									
	Giving feedback									
	In what way were these skills relevant to your learning about									
	International Human Resource Management?									
3.	What was effective about the ExcelL program in teaching you intercultural									
	skills?									
4.	The four case studies used and the video case on group interactions:									
	What was effective about using the case studies in applying the									
	intercultural skills?									
5.	Give some ideas on how useful the participant's manual was and how it									
	could be improved?									

#### Results

Table 2 reports that most students were satisfied with the course overall (76%). Although most students attended all or most of the lectures (68%), only 35% of students attended all or most of the workshops. The ExcelL program occupied four of the six

workshops offered, and all six of the workshops focussed on specific IHRM case studies. Whereas most students found the lectures (77%) and the readings (72%) as either assisting or being a valuable aid to learning, only 57% of students felt that the workshops were a valuable aid to their learning (Table 2). It should be noted that class discussion was also promoted in the lectures; hence, there was a high positive response (83%) to the question on whether the teacher encouraged students to participate in class discussions.

Table 2: Responses to selected course evaluation questions (n = 85)

Question	Strongly Agree/ Agree	Uncertain	Disagree/ Strongly Disagree	Missing
In this course the teacher encouraged students to participate in class discussions	83%	14%	4%	0%
Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of this course	76%	13%	7%	4%
I found that the teaching methods used in this course were effective in helping me to learn	75%	16%	8%	0%
I attended all or most of the lectures	68%	7%	14%	11%
I attended all or most of the workshops	35%	18%	11%	36%
The lectures were a valuable aid to my learning	77%	14%	9%	0%
The workshops were a valuable aid to my learning	57%	16%	24%	2%
The required readings assisted my learning	72%	16%	9%	2%

Course evaluations also presented students with an opportunity to provide written answers to four questions. Altogether, 62 out of 85 respondents provided responses to one or more of the questions presented in Table 3. Table 4 presents the answers or comments related to the ExcelL program. The most frequently cited positive comment regarding the ExcelL program was related to the interaction and discussion that the program facilitated. The most frequently cited negative comment regarding the program was that it was not linked to assessment. Opinion on role-plays was divided, with four participants commenting positively and two participants commenting negatively. A positive comment from question 2 (Table 3) was "getting to practice things such as ways to communicate more effectively through role plays in workshops." A negative comment in response to question 4 was "I thought ExcelL was very simplistic, to a point where it was insulting doing role plays - get rid of it and have more meaningful discussions rather than simplistic generalisations about other cultures." Although three participants felt the workshops were boring, two other participants specifically commented that the workshops were interesting. The seven comments relating to case studies were all positive. In answer to question 1, a participant wrote "The case studies are a fabulous way to facilitate learning."

**Table 3 : Open-ended course evaluation questions** 

1.	What are the most valuable things you have learned from this course? Include at least one illustration of how you achieved the course objectives listed above.
2.	Identify aspects of the course that helped your learning.
3.	Identify aspects of the course that hindered your learning.
4.	If any aspects of the course caused you concern, please recommend strategies for improvement.

Table 4: Course evaluation comments related to the ExcelL program

Positive Comments	Negative Comments
Interaction and discussion was good	No link to assessment (x4)
(x9)	
Case studies gave real life examples	Boring (x3)
(x7)	
Liked role plays (x4)	Did not like role plays (x2)
Interesting (x2)	No link to lectures/ topics (x2)
Case studies are good in facilitating	Worthless activities (x2)
learning (x2)	
Learnt from the scenarios presented	Felt childish (x2)
Communication training	
Cultural mapping	
Total = 27	Total = 15

Paired sample t-tests of case study analysis reports before and after the ExcelL program (Table 5) found that ExcelL had a significant effect on both intercultural skill selection and in the ability to create a solution strategy. The low number of matches between preworkshop and post-workshop reports (10) and surveys (13) is indicative of the low and inconsistent attendance at workshops.

Table 5: Paired sample t-tests for case study analysis reports before and after ExcelL

Paired	Samples	Test

		Paired Differences							
				Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Intercultural problem identification before Exce - Intercultural problem identification after Excell	50	.707	.224	-1.01	.01	-2.236	9	.052
Pair 2	Intercultural skill selection before Excell - Intercultural skill selection after Excell	- 80	.632	.200	-1.25	35	-4.000	9	.003
Pair 3	Ability to create a solution strategy before Excell - Ability to create a solution strategy after Excell	- 70	.823	.260	-1.29	11	-2.689	9	.025

Paired sample t-tests for interaction skills (Table 6) assessed through the interaction skills checklist (Ishiyama, 1996), indicate improvement in all skills assessed. Significant improvement is noted in the total of all skills, in processing skills, active engagement skills and assertive skills.

Table 6: Paired sample t-tests for interaction skills checklist before and after ExcelL

Paired Samples Test - Interaction Skills

			Paired Differences						
				Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
		Mean	Std. Deviation	Mean	Lower	Upper	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)
Pair 1	Total Interaction Skills	-11.7692	14.66375	4.06699	-20.6304	-2.9080	-2.894	12	.013
Pair 2	Processing Skills	-2.1538	3.21056	.89045	-4.0940	2137	-2.419	12	.032
Pair 3	Active Engagement Skills	-2.3077	3.52100	.97655	-4.4354	1800	-2.363	12	.036
Pair 4	Self-Enhancement Skills	-2.0769	3.54640	.98359	-4.2200	.0661	-2.112	12	.056
Pair 5	Approaching Skills	-1.9231	3.88290	1.07692	-4.2695	.4233	-1.786	12	.099
Pair 6	Assertive Skills	-2.1538	3.33782	.92574	-4.1709	1368	-2.327	12	.038
Pair 7	Interruption Skills	-1.7692	4.12621	1.14441	-4.2627	.7242	-1.546	12	.148

Table 7: Combined major responses to focus group questions

Q1.	What was relevant in the ExcelL program to the practice of	
	International Human Resource Management?	
i	Provides practice context for IHRM	18
ii	Heightens cultural awareness	12
iii	Provides and understanding of impacts of cultural diversity on IHRM	12
Q2.	In what way were the ExcelL skills relevant to your learning	
	about International Human Resource Management?	
i	Making social contacts and giving feedback due to cultural variance	11
ii	These skills help distinguish IHRM from domestic HRM	9
iii	Participation in a group so that one can be comfortable/ skilled in a	5
	group	
Q3.	What was effective about the ExcelL program in teaching you	
	intercultural skills?	
i	Relevant and practical	21
ii	The diversity of the group	8
iii	Effective use of case studies	7
Q4.	What was effective about using the case studies in applying the	
	intercultural skills?	
i	Increases self awareness leading to skill improvement	10
ii	Provides real life context	10
iii	Provides practical context	7
Q5	Give some ideas on how useful the participant's manual was	
	and how it could be improved?	
i	More specifics on each culture needed	11
ii	Questions provide focus for thoughts	11
iii	Is useful, practical and precise	9
iv	Simple format is good for business	7

## Discussion

The ExcelL course combined with case study applications appeared to have a mixed level of success in reaching the goals prescribed.

Based on the scenario question results, it appears as though students did achieve some improvement in identifying cross-cultural interaction problems in business situations, although this was a trend and did not reach the 0.05 level of significance (Table 5). The goal of improving being able to "identify appropriate strategies to overcome problems in cross-cultural business interactions" was also affirmed by the scenario question results,

with a 0.25 level of significance for question 3 and a 0.003 level of significance for question 2.

The sample size of 10 out of a possible 93 students enrolled in the course, affirms the finding in Table 2 that attendance throughout the ExcelL program was a major problem with only 35% of students reporting that they attended the workshops regularly. The student comments on the course evaluation gave reasons for this, with the major problem being that the ExcelL program was not adequately linked to overall course assessment and some felt that the program was "boring".

Student's receptivity to the ExcelL program appeared varied, with a number of students, including focus group participants, expressing strong support for the relevance of ExcelL to the learning of International Human Resource Management. Course evaluation comments, however, indicated that students were divided on the relevance and suitability of the program to the course. Some students felt that activities were "worthless" and they "felt childish". Some of these comments were linked to a particular staff member, whose manner was regarded as "condescending" by some students. Nevertheless, the reaction to introducing a behavioural based program into university curriculum has been recognised as generating some level of student resistance as it is contrary to normal classroom expectations of business students (Rynes, Quinn Trank, Lawson & Iles, 2003). It appears as though the relevance of the program to IHRM could also have been better framed according to student feedback recorded in Table 4. The reaction to role plays appears divided (Table 4) with four students expressing they liked this aspect and two expressing that they did not like it. Role plays in training can generate mixed reactions (Smith, 1998), however further training of facilitators often helps to reduce negative reactions (Noe, 1999).

The integration of the case study method and ExcelL seemed to be effective from the perspective of focus group participants with strongly rated responses being that the case studies "provide practice context for IHRM", "heightens cultural awareness" and "provides and understanding of impacts of cultural diversity on IHRM" (Table 7). The link between case studies and intercultural skills training was regarded positively by focus group participants with the top responses being "increases self awareness leading to skill improvement", "provides real life context" and "provides practical context" (Table 7). The focus group responses, however, must be tempered with the "voting with one's feet" aspect of poor workshop attendance, possibly indicating that many students did not think the ExcelL program was relevant to their completion of the course.

Students reported increased self-confidence and feelings of self-efficacy in cross-cultural situations via the interaction skills survey results presented in Table 6. Students were encouraged to practice the skills outside of the classroom with students and friends from other cultural backgrounds. The resulting increase in self-confidence and self-efficacy are important when considering that intercultural success is likely to lead to further intercultural interactions, whereas failure often leads to further cultural isolation (Hullett & Witte, 2001).

#### Conclusion

This research found that through completing the ExcelL program, participants were able to demonstrate improvement in some of the specific intercultural competencies required in business contexts, as well as reporting increased self-confidence and feelings of self-efficacy in cross-cultural situations. Additionally, participants demonstrated improved identification and articulation of cross-cultural business problems and formulation of appropriate intervention strategies to address these problems. The integration of the case study method with the ExcelL program is supported, however participation in the program must be linked to assessment, program facilitators must be well trained, and the integration of the program to the overall course must be clearly presented. Key

themes were identified from the focus groups that will be incorporated and assessed in future versions of ExcelL designed for a business coursework audience. Considering that previous studies have consistently supported the usefulness of the ExcelL program in multicultural university environments, and this study supporting the application of ExcelL in business coursework settings, it is proposed that a modified version of ExcelL may provide businesses with training programs that gainfully assist adaptation within increasingly multicultural business environments.

#### References

- Adler, N. (2002). *International Dimensions of Organizational Behaviour 4th Edition*. Cincinnati: South-Western.
- Armstrong, J., & Conrad, L. (1994). Subject Evaluation: A Resource Book for Improving Learning and Teaching. Brisbane: GIHE.
- Barker, M., Troth, A., & Mak, A. (2002). *Transition to a New Academic Context: Intercultural Skills Training for International Postgraduate Students.* Paper presented at the 10th Post Compulsory and Education Training Conference, Gold Coast.
- Black, J., & Mendenhall, M. (1989). A Practical but Theory-Based Framework for Selecting Cross-Cultural Training Methods. *Human Resource Management*, *28*(4).
- Blake, B., Heslin, R., & Curtis, S. (1996). Measuring Impacts of Cross-Cultural Training. In D. B. Landis, R.S. (Ed.), *Handbook of Intercultural Training 2nd Ed.* Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Christensen, C. R., & Hansen, A. J. (1987). *Teaching and the Case Method: Text, Cases, and Readings.* Boston: Harvard Business School.
- Dawkins, P., Savery, L., & Mazzarol, T. (1995). Enterprising Nation: Renewing Australia's Managers to Meet the Challenges of the Asia-Pacific Century: Customer Views of Australian Management: Asian-Pacific Viewpoints. Canberra: A.G.P.S.
- DeCieri, H., Wolfram-Cox, J., & Fenwick, M. (2001). Think Global, Act Local: From Naive Comparison to Critical Participation in the Teaching of Strategic International Human Resource Management. *Tamara: Journal of Critical Postmodern Organization Science*, 1(1), 68-78.
- Delbecq, A., Van de Ven, A., & Gustafson, D. (1975). *Group Techniques for Program Planning, a Guide to Nominal Group Technique and Delphi Processes*. Glenview ILL: Scott Foresman.
- Desiraju, R., & Gopinath, C. (2001). Encouraging Participation in Case Discussions: A Comparison of the MCIA and the Harvard Case Methods. *Journal of Management Education*, *25*(4), 394-408.
- Fish, A., & Wood, J. (1997). Cross-Cultural Management Competence in Australian Business Enterprises. *Asia Pacific Journal of Human Resources*, *35*(1), 37-52.
- Forman, J., & Rymer, J. (1999). The Genre System of the Harvard Case Method. *Journal of Business and Technical Communication*, 13(4), 373-400.
- Friga, P. N., Bettis, R. A., & Sullivan, R. S. (2003). Changes in Graduate Management Education and New Business School Strategies for the 21st Century. *Academy of*

- Management Learning and Education, 2(3), 233-249.
- Hullett, C., & Witte, K. (2001). Predicting Intercultural Adaptation and Isolation: Using the Extended Parallel Process Model to Test Anxiety/ Uncertainty Management Theory. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations, 25*(1), 125-139.
- Ishiyama, F. I. (1996). *The Interaction Skills Checklist*. Vancouver: University of British Columbia.
- Karpin, D. (1995). Enterprising Nation: Renewing Australia's Managers to Meet the Challenges of the Asia-Pacific Century: Report of the Industry Task Force on Leadership and Management Skills. Canberra: A.G.P.S.
- Kumar, R., & Usinier, J. C. (2001). Management Education in a Globalizing World: Lessons From the French Experience. *Management Learning*, 32(3), 363-391.
- Ledwith, S., & Seymour, D. (2001). Home and Away: Preparing Students for Multicultural Management. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *12*(8), 1292-1312.
- Mak, A. (2000). Extending Social Skills for Success in International Education: The EXCELL Program. *International Education*, 4(2).
- Mak, A., Barker, M., Millman, L., & Logan, G. (1998). *Enhancing International Students' Intercultural Social Efficacy and Skills: Evaluation of the ExcelL Program in Australia*. Paper presented at the 9th International Student Advisors Network of Australia Conference, National Convention Centre, Canberra, 1-4 December.
- Mak, A., Westwood, M., Barker, M., & Ishiyama, I. (1998). Developing Sociocultural Competencies for Success among International Students: The ExcelL Programme. Journal of International Education, 9(1), 33-38.
- Mak, A., Westwood, M., Ishiyama, I., & Barker, M. (1999). Optimising Conditions for Learning Sociocultural Competencies for Success. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 23(1), 77-90.
- McNair, M. P. (1954). *The Case Method at the Harvard Business School*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Noe, R. (1999). *Employee Training and Development*. Boston: Irwin McGraw-Hill. Rees, W. D., & Porter, C. (2002). The Use of Case Studies in Management Training and Development. Part 1. *Industrial and Commercial Training, 34*(1), 5-8.
- Rynes, S. L., Quinn Trank, C., Lawson, A. M., & Iles, R. (2003). Behavioural Coursework in Business Education: Growing Evidence of a Legitimacy Crisis. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, *2*(3), 269-283.
- Selmer, J., Torbiorn, I., de Leon, C. (1998). Sequential Cross-Cultural Training for Expatriate Business Managers: Pre-Departure and Post Arrival. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *9*(5), 831-840.
- Smith, A. (1998). *Training and Development in Australia 2nd Ed.* Sydney: Butterworths. Westwood, M., Mak, A., Barker, M., & Ishiyama, I. (2001). *The Excell Program Trainers Manual*. Brisbane: Lyonco.
- Woods, P. R. (2000). *Training of Australian Expatriates for Management in SE Asia.* Paper presented at the Transcending Boundaries Proceedings of the 2000 Conference

of the International Association of Insight and Action, Brisbane, 6-8 September.

Woods, P. R. (2003). Performance Management of Australian and Singaporean Expatriates. *International Journal of Manpower, 24*(5).